UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,617	03/15/2004	Koji Tsukimori	SON-2967	8418
	7590 07/14/200 <b>IAN &amp; GRAUER PL</b> I	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			ZAMAN, FAISAL M	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2111	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/799,617	TSUKIMORI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Faisal M. Zaman	2111		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se stion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/799,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 35 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, replace "device drive" with --device driver--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter, "AAPA") and Iizuka et al. ("Iizuka") (U.S. Patent No. 5,680,596).

Regarding Claims 9, 16, 20, 23, and 32, AAPA teaches an editing system comprising:

A computer (AAPA, paragraph 2 under Description of Related Art; i.e., the "personal computer").

A timing notice apparatus having a controller and a timing generation unit, said timing generation unit being adapted to extract frame synchronization information from a reference signal, wherein said frame synchronization information extracted from said reference signal is a timing notice signal (AAPA, paragraph 2 under Description of

Art Unit: 2111

Related Art; i.e., the component in the "personal computer" that performs the synchronization function is interpreted as the claimed "timing notice apparatus").

AAPA does not expressly teach the computer having a computer interface unit, said computer interface unit being adapted to transmit an acquisition command and to receive a timing notice signal; and

Said controller of said timing notice apparatus being adapted to receive said acquisition command and to transmit said timing notice signal,

Wherein said timing notice apparatus transmits said timing notice signal upon receipt of said acquisition command, said timing notice signal being transmitted according to a predetermined timing of image data.

In the same field of endeavor (e.g., time synchronization among components in a computer system), lizuka teaches a computer (lizuka, Figure 2, item 1) having a computer interface unit (lizuka, Figure 2, item 18), said computer interface unit being adapted to transmit an acquisition command (lizuka, Figure 5, item SC7, Column 6, lines 28-31; i.e., the "tuning data request command") and to receive a timing notice signal (lizuka, Figure 5, item SC8, Column 8, lines 31-36; i.e., the "tuning data signals"); and

A controller (lizuka, Figure 2, item 29) of a timing notice apparatus (lizuka, Figure 2, item 2) being adapted to receive said acquisition command and to transmit said timing notice signal,

Wherein said timing notice apparatus transmits said timing notice signal upon receipt of said acquisition command, said timing notice signal being transmitted

Art Unit: 2111

according to a predetermined timing (lizuka, Column 4, lines 7-10) of image data (lizuka, Column 3, lines 52-62).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined lizuka's teachings of time synchronization among components in a computer system with the teachings of AAPA, for the purpose of minimizing the data transfer time without causing an erroneous operation in a given operational environment (see lizuka, Column 1, lines 58-61).

Regarding Claims 10, 19, 28, and 34, lizuka teaches wherein said computer waits to receive said timing notice signal (lizuka, Figure 5, item SC8).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claims 10, 19, 28, and 34.

Regarding Claims 11, 17, 21, and 29, lizuka teaches wherein said acquisition command is transmitted over a universal serial bus (lizuka, Figure 2, item 3; i.e., it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a USB cable for cable 3 for the purpose of increased data transmission speeds).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claims 11, 17, 21, and 29.

Regarding Claims 12, 18, 22, and 30, lizuka teaches wherein said timing notice signal is transmitted over a universal serial bus (lizuka, Figure 2, item 3; i.e., it would be

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a USB cable for cable 3 for the purpose of increased data transmission speeds).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claims 12, 18, 22, and 30.

Regarding Claim 13, lizuka teaches wherein said timing notice apparatus receives operating power from said computer over a universal serial bus (lizuka, Figure 2, item 3; i.e., power can be provided over the USB cable using the V<sub>BUS</sub> and GND lines).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claim 13.

**Regarding Claims 14 and 25-27**, AAPA teaches wherein said predetermined timing is from the group consisting of frame timing and field timing (AAPA, Page 1, lines 5-13 under Description of Related Art).

Regarding Claims 15, 31, and 33, lizuka teaches wherein said computer interface unit transmits said acquisition command in response to a command received through an operation unit (lizuka, Figure 2, item 11).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claims 15, 31, and 33.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,617 Page 6

Art Unit: 2111

Regarding Claims 24, 35, and 36, lizuka teaches re-transmitting said acquisition command from said editing apparatus to said timing notice apparatus, said editing apparatus re-transmitting said acquisition command upon receipt of said timing notice signal (lizuka, Figure 5, items SC8 and SC9, Column 7, lines 11-17; i.e., it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to increase the baud rate for each time a tuning data request command is received, and retransmit the tuning data signals to the computer 1 for the purpose of having the fastest possible baud rate).

The motivation that was used in the combination of Claim 9, super, applies equally as well to Claim 24, 35, and 36.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-4, filed 5/30/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 9, 16, 20, 23, and 32 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of lizuka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,680,596).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faisal M. Zaman whose telephone number is (571)272-6495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm, alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,617 Page 7

Art Unit: 2111

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/F. M. Z./ Examiner, Art Unit 2111

/Paul R. Myers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2111